	Mid-	Atlantic Tida	al Wetland R	apid Assessm	ent Method V4	.1
Site #		Site Name			Date	
Time of Start& F	-inish:	:	(	Crew Initials		
Watershed	shedSub-Watershed					
lat/long				AA shape: circle or r	ectangle or entire wet	land polygon (circle
AA moved from original location? Yes or No (circle one)  If yes: distance, direction, reason			ction, reason			
Classification: (circle one)  Marine Tidal  Expansive Estuarine Tidal  Back Barrier Estuarine Tidal  Fringing Palustrine Tidal  Expansive Palustrine Tidal  Expansive Palustrine Tidal  Expansive Palustrine Tidal						
		•		e spent in the field	? (circle one)	
Note: It is recon			e conducted at lov	tide.		
н		e Stage -M	-> L	Range of Photo Id	entification Numbers	<b>:</b> :
	4		1	_		
			•	Stressor Photo De	-	
Assessment Area Sketch    low marsh or high marsh or fresh (circle one)     Distance to Uplandmeters     Distance to Open Water meters     Stability of AA (check one)     Healthy & Stable     Beginning to deteriorate and/or some fragmentation     Severe deterioration and/or substantial fragmentation     Soils     Depth of organic layer (cm):     Comments on soil sample:     Salinityppt     Vegetation Communities and Features						
Enter midpoint	for each spec	cies/combinatio	n present using th	ne cover class char	t below	
Spartin	a alterniflora		Phragmites	australis	root mat	
•	a patens		_	ols, creeks		, mud or sand
•	•	t. cynosuroides	open water		unhealthy marsh-S	
•	a patens-Distic	•	ditches		other 1	, 
·	•			0 0		
Cover Classes 0	MidPt 0	Cover Classes 6-25%	MidPt 15.5	Cover Classes 76-99%	MidPt 88.5	
<1%	0.5	26-50%	38	100%	100	
1-5%	2.5	51-75%	63			
Comments:  Qualitative Disturbance Rating						
1	2	3	4	5	6	(circle one)
Low <		<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>		(GIGE OHE)
	7/2017				plete: Yes No (ci	l ircle one)

# Mid-Atlantic Tidal Wetland Rapid Assessment Method V.4.1

Site #	Date / /
0.10 //	

# Attribute 1: Buffer/Landscape (All W/in 250m)

### **B1.** Percent of Assessment Area Perimeter with 10m-Buffer

Percent%	Max: 19,164m <sup>2</sup>
Alternative States(not including open-	Pating
water areas)	Rating
Buffer is 100% of AA perimeter.	12
Buffer is 94-99.9% of AA perimeter.	9
Buffer is 80-93.9% of AA perimeter.	6
Buffer is <79.9% of AA perimeter.	3

## **B2.**Natural Land Use in Buffer (excluding AA)

% Natural Land Use	Max: 274,890m <sup>2</sup>
Alternative States	Rating
100% natural land use buffer	12
75-99.9% natural land use buffer	9
55-74.9% natural land use buffer	6
<54.9% natural land use buffer	3

### **B3.** Altered and High Impact Land Use in Buffer (excluding AA)

Un-Natural Land Use \_\_\_\_\_\_\_% High Impact Land Use \_\_\_\_\_\_\_% (250m buffer = 274,890m<sup>2</sup>)

Alternative States	Rating
No Un-Natural Landuses	12
0-20% Un-Natural Land Use and <5% High Impact Land Use	9
20-50% Un-Natural Land Use and/or 5-20% High Impact Land Use	6
>50% Un-Natural Land Use or >20% High Impact Land Use	3

#### **B4.** Buffer Landscape Condition

Alternative States	Rating
AA's surrounding landscape is comprised of only native vegetation, has undisturbed soils, no point source discharges, and there is no evidence of human disturbance.	12
AA's surrounding landscape is dominated by native vegetation, has undisturbed soils, receives water from a stormwater pond drain, and there is to little or no evidence of human visitation.	9
AA's surrounding landscape is characterized by an intermediate mix of native and non-native vegetation, and/or a moderate degree of soil disturbance/compaction, and/or receives water from one or more agricultural field ditch(es), and/or there is evidence of moderate human visitation.	6
AA's surrounding landscape is characterized by barren ground and/or dominated by invasive species and/or highly compacted or otherwise disturbed soils, and/or receives discharge directly from a polluted source, and/or there is evidence of very intensive human visitation.	3

#### **B5.** Barriers to Landward Migration

	Alternative States	Rating
% Perimeter Obstructed%	Absent: no barriers	12
	Low: <10% of perimeter obstructed	9
Dist. From Center of AAm	Moderate: 10-25% of perimeter obstructed	6
	High: 26-100% of perimeter obstructed	3

#### Attribute 3: Habitat (All W/in AA) HAB1a. Bearing Capacity (Hummocks) \* Mark Depth (cm) % Hummocks Plot 1 Plot 2 Plot 3 Plot 4 Plot 5 Plot 6 Plot 7 Plot 8 Water Depth (cm) **Initial depth** Blow 1 Blow 2 Blow 3 Blow 4 Blow 5 (Final) **Blow 5 - Initial** Hummocks average = \_ \* if hummocks are present >10% use this workspace % of AA in hummocks x \_\_\_\_ hummocks avg (HAB1a)= \_\_\_ % of AA in hollows x \_\_\_\_ hollows average (HAB1b) = \_\_\_ Sum of two weighted averages = **Tidal Salt Tidal Fresh** Av. of Final - Initial for the 8 Sub-plots Rating (circle one) Av. of Final - Initial for the 8 Sub-plots Rating (circle one) ≤ 4.4 12 ≤ 1.8 4.4-6.7 1.9-4.0 9 9 4.1-6.2 6 6.8-11.4 6 > 6.2 3 > 11.4 3 Average Final-Initial =\_\_\_\_\_ HAB1b. Bearing Capacity (Unvegetated Hollows) if applicable\* Mark Depth (cm) % Hollows \_% Plot 1 Plot 2 Plot 3 Plot 4 Plot 5 Plot 6 Plot 7 Plot 8 Water depth (cm) Initial depth Blow 1 Blow 2 Blow 3 Blow 4 Blow 5 **Blow 5 - Initial** Hollows average = **HAB2.** Horizontal Vegetative Obstruction Sub-plot Out of:\_ 5 0.25m % unobstructed:\_ 0.50m 100-% unobstructed= % obstructed: 0.75m 1.00m 1.25m Sum

Tidal Salt	Tidal Fresh	Rating
<u>&gt;</u> 60%		12
45%-59.9		9
30%-44.9%		6
<u>&lt;</u> 29.9%		3

HAB3. # of Plant Layers (covers ≥ 10% of AA)

Floating/ Aquatic Species	
Short < 0.3m	
Medium 0.3m- 0.75m	
Tall 0.75m- 1.5m	
Very Tall > 1.5m	

Number of Plant Layers: \_

Scoring PI	Rating	
4-5 layers		12
2-3 layers		9
1 layer		6
0 layer		3

Page 3

Dominant Veg. Type

Amaranthus cannabinus	Polygonum arifolium	
Asclepias incarnata	Polygonum punctatum	
Atriplex prostrata	Polygonum ramosissimum	
Baccharis halimifolia	Pontederia cordata	
Boehmeria cylindrica	Sagittaria latifolia	
Bolboschoenus robustus	Salicornia virginica	
Clethra alnifolia	Saururus cernuus	
Distichlis spicata	Schoenoplectus americanus	
Echinochloa walteri	Scirpus taberaemontani	
Hibiscus moscheutos	Solidago sempervirens	
Impatiens capensis	Spartina alterniflora	
Iva frutescens	Spartina cynosuroides	
Juncus effusus	Spartina patens	
Juncus gerardii	Symplocarpus foetidus	
Kosteletzkya virginica (pentacarpos)	Typha angustifolia	
Leersia oryzoides	Typha latifolia	
Limonium carolinianum	Zizania aquatica	
Nuphar luteum	_	
Panicum virgatum	_	
Peltandra virginica	_	
Phragmites australis		
Pluchea odorata		

OR

# **HAB4.** Species Richness

Alternative States	Rating
≥ 6 species	12
4 or 5 species	9
2 or 3 species	6
1 species	3

# **HAB5.** % Invasive Cover in AA

Alternative States	Rating
0%	12
>0-25%	9
26-50%	6
>50%	3

**Invasive Species Present:** 

# Attribute 2: Hydrology H1a. Ditching/Excavation (OMWM) (AA only) (Salt)

% of AA Ditched or Excavated	Rating
No Ditching	12
0-2.5%	9
2.6-5%	6
>5%	3

	Ditch 1	Ditch 2	Ditch 3
Width 1			
Width 2			
Width 3			
Length			
Total			

# H1b. Point Source (250m) (Fresh)

Alternative States	Rating
Absent, No Discharge	12
Low: 1 small discharge from a natural area	9
Moderate: 1 discharge from a developed area or 2 discharges from a natural area	6
High: ≥ 2 discharges from a developed area or ≥ 3 from a natural area	3

# H2. Fill (AA only)

% of AA Filled	Rating
No Fill	12
0.1-5%	9
5.1 - 10%	6
<u>≥</u> 10.1%	3

Estimate Amount of Fill: \_ Dimensions of Fill Pile: \_\_\_\_\_

	AA=7,854m <sup>2</sup>		
1%	78m²	9m x 9m	
5%	393m²	20m x 20m	
10%	785m²	28m x 28m	

#### H3. Diking & Tidal Restriction (250m)

	,
Alternative States	Rating
Absent: no restriction, free flow	12
Elevated Path	9
Dike, Levee Bridge, Berm	6
Undersized Culvert or Bridge	3

Description of Restriction:

Page 4

# Mid-Atlantic Tidal Wetland Rapid Assessment Method V.4.1

Site Number: Site Name:			Date:/_	/
Attributes and Metrics	Raw Value	Scores	Comments	
Buffer/Landscape				
B1. % of AA Perimeter with 10m Buffer				
B2. Natural Land Use				
B3. Surrounding Land Use				
B4. 250 Landscape Condition				
B5. Barriers to Landward Migration				
$(((((\Sigma(B1,B2,B3,B4,B5))/60)*100)-25)/75)*100 = Buff$	er Attribute Score			
Hydrology				
H1 Ditching & Excavating (OMWM) or Point Sources				
H2. Fill				
H3. Diking/Restriction				
$(((((\sum(H1,H2,H3))/36)*100)-25)/75)*100 = Hydrolog$	y Attribute Score			
Habitat				
HAB1. Bearing Capacity				
HAB2. Horizontal Vegetative Obstruction				
HAB3. Number of Plant Layers				
HAB4. Species Richness				
HAB5. Percent Invasives				
$((((\sum(HAB1,HAB2,HAB3,HAB4,HAB5))/60)*100)$	))-25)/75)*100			
= Habitat Attribute Score				
((Buf/Land + Hydrology + Habitat Attribute Scores)	/3)= Final Score	Final	Score =	